DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney 1 United States Attorney
SANDRA BROWN
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Tax Division
DARWIN THOMAS
Assistant United States Attorney
Federal Building Room 7211
300 North Los Angeles Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-2400 x2740
Facsimile: (213) 894-0115
Email: darwin.thomas@usdoj.gov 2 3 MARY E. BIELEFELD MARY E. BIELEFELD
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Post Office Box 7238
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Telephone: (202) 514-9375
Facsimile: (202) 514-6770
Email: mary.e.bielefeld@usdoj.gov
central.taxcivil@usdoj.gov 9 10 11 12 S 13 Attorneys for United States 14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 15 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 16 CV05-6039 RGK (Sp) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 17 Plaintiff, Civil No. 18 19 Complaint for Permanent ν. Injunction MICHAEL MUHAMMAD 20 a/k/a MICHAEL EUGENE WALL a/k/a MICHAEL MUTA ALI MUHAMMAD, 21 22 Defendant. 23 Plaintiff United States of America, for its complaint against defendant 24 Michael Muhammad, a/k/a Michael Eugene Wall, a/k/a Michael Muta Ali 25 Muhammad, states as follows: 26 27 28 Complaint for Permanent Injunction United States v. Michael Muhammad

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345 and the Internal Revenue Code (I.R.C.), 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408.
- 2. This suit is brought under I.R.C. §§ 7402, 7407, and 7408, to enjoin Muhammad permanently from:
 - (a) assisting in the preparation of federal tax returns that he knows will result in the understatement of any tax liability or the overstatement of federal tax refunds;
 - (b) acting as an income tax return preparer (as defined in I.R.C. § 7701(a)(36));
 - (c) organizing or selling abusive tax shelters, plans, or arrangements that advise or encourage taxpayers to attempt to evade the assessment or collection of their correct federal tax;
 - (d) engaging in any activity subject to penalty under I.R.C. §§ 6700, 6701, 6694, 6695, or any other penalty provision in the I.R.C.; and
 - (e) engaging in conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws and from promoting any false tax scheme.
- 3. This action has been requested by the Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and commenced at the direction of a delegate of the Attorney General, under I.R.C. §§ 7402, 7407, and 7408.
- 4. On information and belief, Muhammad resides at 433 S. Beredno, Apt. 202, Los Angeles, California 90020, within this judicial district. Muhammad is also known as Michael Eugene Wall, a/k/a Michael Muta Ali Muhammad.
 - 5. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

Defendant's Activities

6. Muhammad helps organize or promote a tax-fraud scheme by preparing federal income tax returns for customers that report no income based on the frivolous position that the United States includes "only the District of Columbia and territories over which the federal government has exclusive jurisdiction."

- 7. Muhammad advises his customers that the United States is a foreign country in relation to California (or any other state), and that the customers are citizens of California (or another state, if the customer resides elsewhere) and not of the United States.
- 8. According to Muhammad, federal taxes withheld from his customers' earnings in California (or another state) are being withheld by a foreign country, which entitles the customer to a foreign earned income exclusion and reduces their taxable income to zero.
- 9. Muhammad prepares IRS Form 2555, Foreign Earned Income, falsely reporting that the customer spent the entire tax year living outside the United States and that his or her income is therefore excludible from income on their federal income tax returns, Forms 1040 or 1120.
- 10. Muhammad then reports the customer's income on federal income tax returns, but then improperly excludes all the income using IRS Form 2555, Foreign Earned Income, and falsely reduces the customer's income to zero.
- 11. Since 2001, Muhammad has prepared at least ten individual income tax returns and one corporation income tax return for customers falsely reporting zero taxable income and seeking refunds of all federal income taxes withheld.
- 12. Muhammad charges each customer a fee ranging from \$60 to \$500 per return.
- 13. Muhammad prepares tax returns for customers in California and includes with the return, a one-page explanation of his position that income earned in a state is not taxable.
- 14. The net result of this arrangement is that the income tax returns Muhammad prepares falsely report no taxable income.

- 15. In the years following Muhammad's preparation of a customer's return, the customer has little or no federal income tax withheld, and the customer ceases filing returns.
- 16. Muhammad also falsely and fraudulently advises his customers that wages or earnings from labor are not subject to tax because the term "income" is not defined by statute or case law as specifically including wages or earnings from labor.
- 17. Muhammad prepares at least 12 income tax returns or amended returns per year for customers and has been preparing returns claiming zero taxable income using Form 2555, Foreign Earned Income, since 2001.
- 18. The returns Muhammad prepares fail to provide the preparer's Social Security Number (SSN), preparer tax identification number (PTIN), or employer identification number (EIN).
- 19. Muhammad claims to have studied the Internal Revenue Code, to have taken a basic tax law course offered by H & R Block, and to have written the one-page attachment to Form 2555 included with the returns he prepares, explaining the frivolous position taken in the tax return.
- 20. Muhammad intends to continue to function as an income tax return preparer and promoter and insists on the viability of the position on which his tax-fraud scheme is based.
- 21. Despite being advised by the Internal Revenue Service that his conduct (including the specific activities described above in paragraphs 6 through 20) is subject to penalty and injunction, Muhammad has not stopped promoting his tax-fraud scheme or preparing false and fraudulent returns.

Harm to the Public

22. Muhammad's customers have been harmed by his promoting a taxfraud scheme and preparing false and fraudulent tax returns, because his customers

paid him money to prepare tax returns understating their income tax liabilities resulting in the likely audit of their returns and assessment of tax, penalties, and interest.

- 23. The United States is harmed because Muhammad's customers are not paying the correct amount of tax to the United States Treasury. Although five of the eleven returns admittedly prepared by Muhammad and filed for 2001 and 2002 have been identified by IRS and claims for refund totaling \$43,866 have been disallowed, other returns prepared by Muhammad may result in erroneous refunds to his customers.
- 24. In addition, taxpayers participating in Muhammad's tax-fraud scheme later cease filing tax returns altogether, forcing the IRS to divert some of its limited resources to investigate, conduct audits, and prepare substitute tax returns.
- 25. The United States is also harmed because the IRS is forced to devote resources to identify and recover this lost revenue from Muhammad's customers. Moreover, given the IRS's limited resources, identifying and recovering all revenues lost from Muhammad's tax-fraud scheme may be impossible.
- 26. In addition to the harm caused by his advice and services, Muhammad's activities undermine public confidence in the fairness of the federal tax system and encourage non-compliance with the internal revenue laws.

Count I

Injunction under I.R.C. § 7407 for Violations of I.R.C. §§ 6694 and 6695

- 27. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 26.
- 28. Section 7407 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes a court to enjoin an income tax return preparer from:
 - (a) engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6694 (which penalizes a tax return preparer who prepares or submits a return that

contains an unrealistic position or who knew or reasonably should have known the position was not realistic and was frivolous),

- (b) engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6695 (which penalizes a return preparer who fails to furnish an identifying number of the income tax return preparer on a return as required by I.R.C. § 6109(a)(4)),
- (c) engaging in any other fraudulent or deceptive conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws,

if the court finds that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of such conduct. Additionally, if the court finds that a preparer has continually or repeatedly engaged in such conduct and that a narrower injunction (*i.e.*, prohibiting only that specific enumerated conduct) would not be sufficient to prevent that person's interference with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws, the court may enjoin the person from further acting as a federal income tax return preparer.

- 29. Muhammad is an income tax return preparer under I.R.C. § 7701(a)(36) because he prepares federal income tax returns (Forms 1040 and 1120) for compensation and signs the returns as a paid preparer.
- 30. Muhammad prepares federal income tax returns (Forms 1040 and 1120) claiming foreign earned income exclusions for customers living within the United States and understates their tax liability based on the false and fraudulent position that the United States is a foreign country in relation to California (or other state), and earnings withheld by a foreign county entitles the customers to a foreign earned income exclusion thereby reducing their taxable income to zero.
- 31. Specific examples of the impact of Muhammad's unrealistic position in preparing federal income tax returns, are:
 - A. After a tax return prepared for a California customer improperly excluded income using the foreign earned income Form 2555, the customer failed to file a return at all in the following year. IRS prepared a return

resulting in an income tax deficiency of \$25,000 (plus interest and penalties) being assessed against the customer.

- b. Two other California customers failed to file income tax returns for the years 2002, 2003, and 2004, after having filed a return prepared by Muhammad for the year 2001.
- 32. Because of his knowledge in tax matters, Muhammad knew or should have known that the positions asserted on his customers' returns were unrealistic within the meaning of I.R.C. § 6694.
- 33. Muhammad has engaged in other fraudulent and deceptive conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws within the meaning of I.R.C. § 6695, by failing to furnish an identifying number of the income tax return preparer on a return as required by I.R.C. § 6109(a)(4).
- 34. Muhammad's actions, as described above, fall within I.R.C. § 7407(b)(1)(A) and (D), and are thus subject to injunction under I.R.C. § 7407.
- 35. Muhammad should be permanently enjoined from acting as a federal income tax return preparer because unless enjoined he is likely to continue to engage in this unlawful conduct.

Count II

Injunction under I.R.C. § 7408 for Violations of I.R.C. §§ 6700 and 6701

- 36. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 35.
- 37. Section 7408 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes a court to enjoin persons engaging in any conduct subject to penalty under §§ 6700 or 6701 of the Code, or engaging in any other activity subject to penalty under the Code, if the court finds that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of that conduct.

- 38. Section 6700 of the Internal Revenue Code penalizes any person who organizes, promotes, or sells a plan or arrangement and makes, in connection with organizing or selling the plan or arrangement, a statement regarding the excludibility of income or securing of any other tax benefit that the person knows or has reason to know is false or fraudulent as to any material matter.
- 39. Section 6701 of the Internal Revenue Code penalizes any person who aids or assists in, procures, or advises with respect to the preparation or presentation of a federal tax return, claim for refund, or other document while knowing (or having a reason to believe) that the return, claim, or other document will be used in connection with any material matter arising under the internal revenue laws and if used, would result in an understatement of another's tax liability.
- 40. Muhammad knows or has reason to know that his tax-fraud scheme contains false or fraudulent statements within the meaning of I.R.C. § 6700.
- 41. Customers rely on Muhammad's false or fraudulent statements and file tax returns substantially and materially understating their income based on Muhammad's statements. Thereafter, the customers cease filing tax returns altogether.
- 42. By preparing income tax returns for his customers which improperly claim exclusions from income and fail to report income based on this tax-fraud scheme, Muhammad has engaged in preparing or presenting a portion of a tax return or other document, knowing that such portion will be used in connection with a material matter arising under the internal revenue laws, and knowing that such portion (if so used), would result in understating the tax liability of another person. Muhammad's conduct is thus subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6701.

43. Unless enjoined by this court, Muhammad is likely to continue to engage in such conduct. Injunctive relief is therefore appropriate under I.R.C. § 7408.

Count III

Injunction under I.R.C. § 7402 for Unlawful Interference with Enforcement of the Internal Revenue Laws and Appropriateness of Injunctive Relief

- 44. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 43.
- 45. Section 7402 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes a court to issue orders of injunction as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- 46. Muhammad, through the actions described above, has engaged in conduct that interferes substantially with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- 47. If Muhammad is not enjoined, the United States will suffer irreparable harm because the losses caused by Muhammad will continue to increase.
- 48. While the United States will suffer irreparable injury if Muhammad is not enjoined, Muhammad will not be harmed by being compelled to obey the law.
- 49. The public interest would be advanced by enjoining Muhammad because an injunction will stop his illegal conduct and the harm that conduct is causing to the United States Treasury and the public.
- 50. If Muhammad is not enjoined, he is likely to continue to interfere with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays for the following relief:

A. That the Court find that Muhammad has engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. §§ 6700, 6701, 6694, and 6695, and that injunctive relief

under I.R.C. §§ 7407 and 7408 is necessary and appropriate to prevent a recurrence of that conduct;

- B. That the Court find that Muhammad has engaged in conduct interfering with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws, and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of that conduct pursuant to the Court's inherent equity powers and I.R.C. § 7402(a);
- C. That this Court, pursuant to I.R.C. § 7407, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Muhammad from acting as a federal income tax return preparer;
- D. That this Court, pursuant to I.R.C. §§ 7402(a) and 7408, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Muhammad, individually and doing business under any other name or using any other entity, and his representatives, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, associates, and those persons in active concert or participation with him, from directly or indirectly:
 - (1) Engaging in activity subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6700, including organizing or selling a plan or arrangement and making a statement regarding the excludibility of income that he knows or has reason to know is false or fraudulent as to any material matter;
 - (2) Engaging in activity subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6701, including preparing and/or assisting in the preparation of a document related to a matter material to the internal revenue laws that includes a position that he knows will result in an understatement of tax liability;
 - (3) Organizing, promoting, marketing, or selling any type of abusive tax shelter, plan, or arrangement, including any asset protection device such as trusts, limited liability corporations, or similar arrangements, advocating noncompliance with the income tax laws or tax evasion, misrepresenting the tax savings realized by using such an

- arrangement or concealing the receipt of income or location of assets from the IRS;
- (4) Engaging in any other activity subject to penalty under the Internal Revenue Code; and
- (5) Engaging in other conduct interfering with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws;
- E. That this Court, pursuant to I.R.C. § 7402(a), enter an injunction requiring Muhammad to contact by mail all individuals, corporations, or business entities for whom he has prepared tax returns, to inform them of the Court's findings concerning the falsity of Muhammad's representations and attach a copy of the permanent injunction against Muhammad, and to file with the Court, within 30 days of the date the permanent injunction is entered, a certification that he has done so;
- F. That this Court, pursuant to I.R.C. § 7402(a), enter an injunction requiring Muhammad to produce to the United States, within 30 days of the date the permanent injunction is entered, a list of the names, Social Security Numbers (or Employer Identification Numbers or Taxpayer Identification Numbers), addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers of all individuals, corporations, or other business entities for which he has prepared federal tax returns or that have purchased his tax-fraud plans, arrangements, or programs, or any other tax shelter, plan, or program in which Muhammad has been involved;
- G. That this Court order that the United States is permitted to engage in post-judgment discovery to ensure compliance with the permanent injunction; and

1	H. That this Court grant the United States such other relief, including the	
2	costs of this action, as is just and equitable.	
3	Dated this 16th day of August, 2005.	
4	DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney	
5	SANDRA BROWN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Tax Division	
7	DARWIN THOMAS Assistant United States Attorney Federal Building Room 7211	
8	Assistant United States Attorney Federal Building Room 7211 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-2400 X2740 Facsimile: (213) 894-0115 Email: darwin.thomas@usdoj.gov	
9	Telephone: (213) 894-2400 X2740 Facsimile: (213) 894-0115 Fmail: darwin thomas@usdoi.gov	
10	Dinant. dar winthomas(w.asaoj.gov	
11	Mary E. Bielo Coll	
12	MARY B. BIELEFELD Trial Attorney Tay Division	
13	Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Post Office Box 7238	
14 15	Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044	
16	Telephone: (202) 5114-9375 Facsimile: (202) 514-6770 Email: mary.e.bielefeld@usdoj.gov	
17	Email: <u>mary.e.bielefeld@usdoj.gov</u> <u>central.taxcivil@usdoj.gov</u>	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff.	
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		